The Honorable Kymberly K. Evanson 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 10 JOLENE FURDEK and JONATHAN RYAN. Case No. 2:22-cv-01599-KKE on behalf of themselves and all others similarly 11 situated. STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONCERNING 12 Plaintiffs, TESTIFYING EXPERT DISCOVERY 13 NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR: v. 14 July 24, 2025 AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware 15 corporation, and APPLE INC., a California corporation, 16 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28



12

9

15

20

22

WHEREAS, all parties desire to provide an efficient framework for the discovery of expert witness-related materials; and

WHEREAS, all parties through their respective counsel of record have considered the expert witness discovery provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 and agreed upon the proposed modifications and supplementations described herein;

NOW THEREFORE, all parties, through their respective counsel of record, stipulate to the following regarding expert discovery in the above-captioned matter, subject to approval by the Court.

- This Stipulation and Order Concerning Testifying Expert Discovery
 ("Stipulation") does not set or alter the time for any disclosure required by Federal Rule of Civil
 Procedure 26(a)(2)(B).
- 2. To the extent this Stipulation imposes limitations on discovery that would otherwise be available under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including but not limited to Rule 26(b)(4)(C), the parties have agreed to those limitations to increase the efficiency of their dealings with testifying experts and to minimize discovery disputes regarding testifying experts. Neither the terms of this Stipulation nor the parties' agreement to them shall be an admission by any party that any of the information restricted from discovery by this Stipulation would otherwise be discoverable or admissible. The term "expert" as used herein refers to a witness a party may use to present evidence under Federal Rule of Evidence 702, 703, or 705.
- 3. Except as provided in paragraph 5 below, the following information shall *not* be the subject of any form of discovery:
 - a. The content of communications, whether oral or written, among and between:
 - (i) Parties or counsel on the one hand, and on the other hand the expert and/or the expert's staff and/or supporting firms;
 - (ii) Parties or counsel on the one hand, and on the other hand any nontestifying expert consultant and/or the consultant's staff and/or

supporting firms;

- (iii) the expert and other experts and/or other non-testifying expert consultants;
- (iv) experts and their staff and/or supporting firms;
- (v) non-testifying expert consultants and their staffs and/or supporting firms;
- (vi) the respective staffs and/or supporting firms of experts or nontestifying expert consultants and the staffs and/or supporting firms of other experts or non-testifying expert consultants.
- 4. Except as provided in paragraph 5 below, notes, drafts, written communications, preliminary or intermediate calculations, computations or other data runs, or other types of preliminary work created by, for, or at the direction of a testifying expert need not be produced. To be clear, while the materials listed in this paragraph need not be produced along with the expert reports, nothing herein prevents counsel from questioning testifying experts on analysis or materials they considered but did not ultimately rely on, or moving to compel production if expert discovery reveals those materials were relied on. For avoidance of doubt, the preceding sentence does not permit counsel to question the testifying experts on analysis or materials that only testifying expert's support staff, and not the testifying expert herself, considered.
- 5. The limitations in paragraph 3 and 4 above shall not apply to any communications, documents, data sets, data runs, calculations, computations, or other forms of information or work upon which a testifying expert relies as a basis for any of his or her final opinion(s) or report(s).
- 6. Subject to sub-paragraphs (a) and (b) below, within three business days of any party serving any expert report and/or expert declaration under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), the party or parties proffering the expert witness shall produce: the data or other information relied upon by the expert witness in forming the expert witness's opinions; any exhibits used (or that will be used) to summarize or support the expert witness's opinions; the expert witness's qualifications, including a list of all publications authored in the previous 10 years; a list of all other cases in which, during the previous four years, the expert witness has testified as an expert STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

at trial or by deposition; and a statement of the expert's hourly rate and compensation to be paid for the expert witness's study and testimony in this case.

- a. As used in paragraphs 6 and 8 of this Stipulation, "data or other information relied upon" shall be deemed to include, but will not be limited to, underlying data, spreadsheets, computerized regression analyses and/or other underlying reports, and schedules sufficient to reconstruct the expert witness's work, calculations, and/or analyses. "Data or other information relied upon" should be produced electronically (via email, disc, or FTP site) where feasible. Publicly available information need not be produced absent request so long as the information relied upon remains publicly available and the location where the publicly available information can be obtained is provided. Documents previously produced during discovery need not be produced so long as they are identified by Bates number.
- b. All "data or other information relied upon" shall be provided in a format as agreed to by the parties, including, potentially, any software and instructions required to read "the data or other information relied upon," but no party need produce computer software reasonably and commercially available (e.g., Microsoft Word, Excel). For clarity, ordinary licensing fees shall not be considered in evaluating whether computer software is reasonably and commercially available.
- 7. To the extent that the specific stipulations agreed to herein limit or waive disclosure requirements under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), the parties hereby confirm that they expressly agree to such waiver.
- 8. No subpoenas (for depositions or documents) need be served on any testifying expert from whom a report or declaration is provided. Instead, the party proffering such expert will (a) produce all "data or other information relied upon" by the expert, consistent with the

	II .	
1	terms of this Stipulation, and (b) make the	he expert available for deposition at a time mutually
2	agreed to by the parties and consistent w	with the Court's scheduling orders.
3	9. Nothing in this Stipulatio	n shall permit a party or testifying expert to withhold
4	any proposition, fact, belief, or other dat	a, information, or material upon which the expert relies
5	to support her or his opinion(s).	
6	The parties agree to comply with	this Stipulation and Order pending the Court's approval.
7		
8	DATED: July 24, 2025	Respectfully submitted,
9		HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
10		
11		By /s/ Steve W. Berman
12		Steve W. Berman (WSBA No. 12536) By /s/ Theodore Wojcik
		Theodore Wojcik (WSBA No. 55553)
13		By /s/ Meredith Simons
14		Meredith Simons (WSBA No. 62622)
15		1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98101
13		Telephone: (206) 623-7292
16		Facsimile: (206) 623-0594
17		steve@hbsslaw.com
1 /		tedw@hbsslaw.com
18		merediths@hbsslaw.com
19		Ben Harrington (pro hac vice)
20		Benjamin J. Siegel (<i>pro hac vice</i>) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
20		715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 300
21		Berkeley, CA 94710
22		Telephone: (510) 725-3000
23		Facsimile: (510) 725-3001 benh@hbsslaw.com
24		bens@hbsslaw.com
25		Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class
26		
27		
28		



1	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
2	By: /s/ John Goldmark John Goldmark, WSBA #40980
3 4	MaryAnn Almeida, WSBA #49086 920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98104-1610
	Phone: (206) 622-3150
5	Fax: 206-757-7700 johngoldmark@dwt.com
6	maryannalmeida@dwt.com
7	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
8	
9	By: <u>/s/ Benjamin M. Mundel</u> Benjamin M. Mundel (pro hac vice) Jacquelyn Fradette (pro hac vice)
10	Mark D. Hopson (pro hac vice)
11	1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005
12	Telephone: (202) 736-8000
12	Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 736-8000
13	bmundel@sidley.com
1.4	jfradette@sidley.com
14	mhopson@sidley.com
15	Attorneys for Amazon.com, Inc.
16	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
17	By: /s/ Mark S. Parris
18	Mark S. Parris (WSBA No. 18370) mparris@orrick.com
10	401 Union Street, Suite 3300
19	Seattle, WA 98101
20	Telephone: +1 206 839 4300 Facsimile: +1 206 839 4301
21	Eric Hochstadt (<i>pro hac vice</i>) 51 West 52nd Street
22	New York, NY 10019
23	Telephone: (212) 506-5000 ehochstadt@orrick.com
24	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
25	By: /s/ Anna Pletcher
26	Anna Pletcher (pro hac vice) Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor
	San Francisco, CA 94111
27	Telephone: (415) 984-8994 apletcher@omm.com
28	apieconer (gonini.com

HAGENS BERMAN

1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 623-7292 OFFICE (206) 623-0594 FAX

Benjamin Bradshaw (pro hac vice) Sergei Zaslavsky (pro hac vice) 1625 Eye Street N.W. Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 383-5300 bbradshaw@omm.com szaslavsky@omm.com

Attorneys for Apple Inc.

1	* * *
2	
3	[PROPOSED] ORDER
4	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION,
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	DATED:, 2025
8	
9	THE HON. KYMBERLY K. EVANSON
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

HAGENS BERMAN

1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 623-7292 OFFICE (206) 623-0594 FAX